arXiv:0909.2924v2 [hep-th] 24 Sep 2009

A note on Kerr/CFT and free fields

Jørgen Rasmussen

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Melbourne
Parkville, Victoria 3010, Australia

`j.rasmussen@ms.unimelb.edu.au`

Abstract

The near-horizon geometry of the extremal four-dimensional Kerr black hole and certain generalizations thereof has an $SL(2,\mathbb{R}) \times U(1)$ isometry group. Excitations around this geometry can be controlled by imposing appropriate boundary conditions. For certain boundary conditions, the $U(1)$ isometry is enhanced to a Virasoro algebra. Here, we propose a free-field construction of this Virasoro algebra.

1 Introduction

In the spirit of [1], it was recently argued [2] that the extremal four-dimensional Kerr black hole [3] is holographically dual to a chiral conformal field theory (CFT) in two dimensions. The near-horizon geometry of the extremal four-dimensional Kerr black hole has an $SL(2,\mathbb{R})\times U(1)$ isometry group. Excitations around the near-horizon metric can be controlled by imposing appropriate boundary conditions. To every consistent set of boundary conditions, there is an associated asymptotic symmetry group generated by the diffeomorphisms obeying the conditions. The conserved charge of an asymptotic symmetry is constructed as a surface integral and can be analyzed using the formalism of [4, 5]. In the studies [2, 6] of the Kerr/CFT correspondence and certain generalizations thereof based on the same isometry group, the $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ isometries become trivial while the $U(1)$ isometry is enhanced to a Virasoro algebra.

As generators of the asymptotic symmetry group, the Virasoro generators are realized as differential operators. Our objective here is to construct a free-field realization, resembling these differential operators, of the centrally-extended Virasoro algebra generated by the conserved charges. Our construction mimics the one introduced in [7] on string theory on AdS_3 in relation to the AdS/CFT correspondence [8, 9, 10]. This construction was subsequently extended from the Virasoro algebra to various superconformal and topological conformal algebras [11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18], and we hope to discuss similar extensions of the present construction elsewhere.

Extending the work [19] on the entropy of three-dimensional black holes such as the BTZ black hole [20], it was found in [21, 22] that a copy of the Virasoro algebra appears in the near-horizon region of any black hole and that it reproduces the black-hole entropy using the Cardy formula. A free-field description arises in the approach of [22], but it is not related directly to the one proposed in the present work.

2 Kerr/CFT correspondence

In the global coordinates used in [2], the metric of the near-horizon extremal Kerr black hole reads

$$d\bar{s}^2 = 2GJ\Omega^2\Big(-(1+r^2)d\tau^2+\frac{dr^2}{1+r^2}+d\theta^2+\Lambda^2\big(d\varphi+rd\tau\big)^2\Big) \tag{2.1}$$

where

$$\Omega^2=\Omega^2(\theta)=\frac{1+\cos^2\theta}{2},\qquad\qquad \Lambda=\Lambda(\theta)=\frac{2\sin\theta}{1+\cos^2\theta} \tag{2.2}$$

Its isometry group is $SL(2,\mathbb{R})\times U(1)$, where the rotational $U(1)$ isometry is generated by the Killing vector ∂_φ.

We are interested in perturbations $h_{\mu\nu}$ of the near-horizon geometry of the extremal black hole whose background metric $\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}$ is defined in (2.1). Asymptotic symmetries are generated by the diffeomorphisms whose action on the metric generates metric fluctuations compatible with the chosen boundary conditions. We are thus looking for contravariant vector fields η along which the Lie derivative of the metric is of the form

$$\mathcal{L}_\eta\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}\sim h_{\mu\nu} \tag{2.3}$$

To such an asymptotic symmetry generator η, one associates [4, 5] the conserved charge

$$Q_\eta=\frac{1}{8\pi G}\int_{\partial\Sigma}\sqrt{-\bar{g}}k_\eta[h;\bar{g}]=\frac{1}{8\pi G}\int_{\partial\Sigma}\frac{\sqrt{-\bar{g}}}{4}\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}d_\eta^{\mu\nu}[h;\bar{g}]dx^\alpha\wedge dx^\beta \tag{2.4}$$

where

$$d_\eta^{\mu\nu}[h;\bar{g}] = \eta^\nu \bar{D}^\mu h - \eta^\nu \bar{D}_\sigma h^{\mu\sigma} + \eta_\sigma \bar{D}^\nu h^{\mu\sigma} - h^{\nu\sigma}\bar{D}_\sigma \eta^\mu + \frac{1}{2} h \bar{D}^\nu \eta^\mu + \frac{1}{2} h^{\sigma\nu}\big(\bar{D}^\mu \eta_\sigma + \bar{D}_\sigma \eta^\mu\big) \tag{2.5}$$

and where $\partial\Sigma$ is the boundary of a three-dimensional spatial volume, ultimately near spatial infinity. Here, indices are lowered and raised using the background metric $\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}$ and its inverse, $\bar{D}_\mu$ denotes a background covariant derivative, while h is defined as $h = \bar{g}^{\mu\nu} h_{\mu\nu}$. To be a well-defined charge in the asymptotic limit, the underlying integral must be finite as $r \to \infty$. If the charge vanishes, the asymptotic symmetry is rendered trivial. The asymptotic symmetry group is generated by the diffeomorphisms whose charges are well-defined and non-vanishing. The algebra generated by the set of well-defined charges is governed by the Dirac brackets computed [4, 5] as

$$\big\{Q_\eta, Q_{\hat\eta}\big\} = Q_{[\eta,\hat\eta]} + \frac{1}{8\pi G}\int_{\partial\Sigma} \sqrt{-\bar{g}}\, k_\eta[\mathcal{L}_{\hat\eta}\bar{g};\bar{g}] \tag{2.6}$$

where the integral yields the eventual central extension.

Written in the ordered basis $\{\tau, r, \varphi, \theta\}$, the boundary conditions considered in [2] are the fall-off conditions

$$h_{\mu\nu} = \mathcal{O}\begin{pmatrix} r^2 & r^{-2} & 1 & r^{-1} \\ & r^{-3} & r^{-1} & r^{-2} \\ & & 1 & r^{-1} \\ & & & r^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad h_{\mu\nu} = h_{\nu\mu} \tag{2.7}$$

and the zero-energy condition $Q_{\partial_\tau} = 0$. Consistency of these conditions was confirmed in [23, 24]. The generators of the corresponding asymptotic symmetry group read

$$\xi = -\epsilon'(\varphi) r \partial_r + \epsilon(\varphi)\partial_\varphi \tag{2.8}$$

and form the centreless Virasoro algebra

$$\big[\xi_\epsilon, \xi_{\hat\epsilon}\big] = \xi_{\epsilon\hat\epsilon' - \epsilon'\hat\epsilon} \tag{2.9}$$

This symmetry is an enhancement of the exact $U(1)$ isometry generated by the Killing vector ∂_φ of (2.1) as the latter is recovered by setting $\epsilon(\varphi) = 1$. The usual form of the Virasoro algebra is obtained by choosing an appropriate basis for the functions $\epsilon(\varphi)$ and $\hat\epsilon(\varphi)$. With respect to the basis $\xi_n(\varphi)$, where

$$\epsilon_n(\varphi) = -e^{-in\varphi} \tag{2.10}$$

one introduces the dimensionless quantum versions

$$L_n = \frac{1}{\hbar}\Big(Q_{\xi_n} + \frac{3J}{2}\delta_{n,0}\Big) \tag{2.11}$$

of the conserved charges. After the usual substitution $\{.,.\} \to -\frac{i}{\hbar}[.,.]$ of Dirac brackets by quantum commutators, the quantum charge algebra is recognized [2] as the centrally-extended Virasoro algebra

$$\big[L_n, L_m\big] = (n-m)L_{n+m} + \frac{c}{12} n(n^2-1)\delta_{n+m,0}, \qquad c = \frac{12J}{\hbar} \tag{2.12}$$

This quantum charge algebra also arises when considering boundary conditions sufficiently similar to those in (2.7). A partial classification of such alternatives can be found in [25].

In [6], it was shown that the Kerr/CFT correspondence generalizes to a Kerr-Newman-AdS-dS/CFT correspondence. The near-horizon metric of these extremal black holes has an $SL(2,\mathbb{R})\times U(1)$ isometry group. Imposing the same boundary conditions as above, one obtains the same asymptotic symmetry generators (2.8) enhancing the $U(1)$ isometry, but a central extension depending on the parameters characterizing the various generalizations of the extremal Kerr black hole.

3 Free-field construction

3.1 Free fields

Let us consider a pair of bosonic scalar fields $\varphi_1(z)$ and $\varphi_2(z)$ whose operator-product expansions are given by

$$\varphi_i(z)\varphi_j(w) = -\delta_{ij}\ln(z-w) \tag{3.1}$$

The corresponding energy-momentum tensor and central charge are

$$T_\varphi(z) = \sum_{i=1,2}\Big(-\frac{1}{2}\partial\varphi_i(z)\partial\varphi_i(z) - \frac{Q_i}{2}\partial^2\varphi_i(z)\Big), \qquad c_\varphi = 2 + 3Q_1^2 + 3Q_2^2 \tag{3.2}$$

where Q_i is the (renormalized) background charge associated to $\varphi_i(z)$. Here and in the following, normal ordering is implied and regular terms are omitted. We also consider a pair of bosonic ghost fields $\beta(z)$ and $\gamma(z)$ of conformal weight $\Delta(\beta)=\lambda$ and $\Delta(\gamma)=1-\lambda$, respectively, whose mutual operator-product expansion and corresponding energy-momentum tensor are given by

$$\beta(z)\gamma(w) = \frac{1}{z-w}, \qquad T_{\beta\gamma}(z) = \lambda\beta(z)\partial\gamma(z) - (1-\lambda)\gamma(z)\partial\beta(z), \qquad c_{\beta\gamma} = 12\lambda^2 - 12\lambda + 2 \tag{3.3}$$

We are mainly interested in the case where $\lambda = 1$.

3.2 Realization of Virasoro generators

The objective here is to construct a free-field realization, resembling the differential-operator realization ξ_n in (2.8) with ϵ_n defined in (2.10), of the Virasoro algebra (2.12). Our goal is thus to formulate a 'direct quantization' of the differential operators reproducing the Virasoro algebra (2.12). Mimicking the construction in [7], we wish to realize the Virasoro generators as contour integrals like

$$L_n = \oint_0 \frac{dz}{2\pi i}\mathcal{L}_n(z) \tag{3.4}$$

where $\mathcal{L}_n(z)$ is expressed in terms of free fields. Invariance of this construction with respect to the free-field ('world-sheet') Virasoro generator

$$T(z) = T_\varphi(z) + T_{\beta\gamma}(z), \qquad T_n = \oint_0 \frac{dz}{2\pi i}T(z)z^{n+1} \tag{3.5}$$

(here anticipating a construction in terms of $\varphi_1(z)$, $\varphi_2(z)$, $\beta(z)$ and $\gamma(z)$) means that the two copies of the Virasoro algebra must commute

$$\big[T_n, L_m\big] = 0 \tag{3.6}$$

This is ensured if the integrands $\mathcal{L}_n(w)$ are primary fields of conformal dimension 1

$$T(z)\mathcal{L}_n(w)=\frac{\mathcal{L}_n(w)}{(z-w)^2}+\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}_n(w)}{z-w}=\partial_w\Big(\frac{\mathcal{L}_n(w)}{z-w}\Big) \tag{3.7}$$

which we will require in the following.

Initially following the standard procedure in Wakimoto free-field realizations [26], see [27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32] and references therein, we perform the 'quantization'

$$r\rightarrow\gamma(z),\qquad\quad \partial_r\rightarrow\beta(z) \tag{3.8}$$

where $\beta(z)$ and $\gamma(z)$ define a bosonic ghost system (3.3) with $\lambda=1$. The 'residue' of the operator-product expansion $\beta(z)\gamma(w)$ thus reproduces the commutator $[\partial_r,r]=1$.

We likewise wish to replace the periodic coordinate φ appearing in $\epsilon_n(\varphi)=-e^{-in\varphi}$ with a compactified bosonic scalar field $\varphi_1(z)$. However, exponentials like $e^{-in\varphi_1(z)}$ have n-dependent conformal properties with respect to $T(z)$, in violation of (3.7). Furthermore, the operator-product expansion of two exponentials like $e^{-in\varphi_1(z)}$ and $e^{-im\varphi_1(w)}$ can produce a pole or a zero of arbitrary order, preventing modes of the form (3.4) from generating a Virasoro algebra. We thus propose to *screen* $\varphi_1(z)$ by introducing a companion bosonic scalar field $\varphi_2(z)$ and consider

$$\varphi(z)=\varphi_1(z)+i\sigma\varphi_2(z),\qquad\quad \sigma^2=1 \tag{3.9}$$

The main virtue, in this context, of the complex bosonic scalar field $\varphi(z)$ is its trivial operator-product expansion

$$\varphi(z)\varphi(w)=0 \tag{3.10}$$

since it avoids the aforementioned obstacles faced by the use of $\varphi_1(z)$ alone. From the present perspective of quantizing the differential operators, there is no apparent reason for favouring $\varphi_2(z)$ compact instead of non-compact. In order to interpret $\varphi(z)$ as a cylinder coordinate, though, one should choose $\varphi_2(z)$ non-compact. For convenience, we set $\sigma=1$.

Similarly to (3.8), the replacement of ∂_φ should have 'residue' 1 in its operator-product expansion with $\varphi(z)$. There is considerable freedom when choosing this replacement, due to (3.10), but in anticipation of the invariance (3.7) imposed below, we require that ∂_φ is replaced by a linear combination of $\partial\varphi_1(z)$ and $\partial\varphi_2(z)$. We thus supplement (3.8) by

$$\varphi\rightarrow\varphi(z),\qquad\quad \partial_\varphi\rightarrow-\partial\varphi_1(z)\ \ \big(\mathrm{mod}\ \partial\varphi(z)\big) \tag{3.11}$$

Combining the above, and with reference to (2.8) and (2.10), our *ansatz* for the integrand $\mathcal{L}_n(z)$ now reads

$$\mathcal{L}_n(z)=ane^{-in\varphi(z)}\gamma(z)\beta(z)+e^{-in\varphi(z)}\Big(-b\partial\varphi_1(z)+b_n\partial\varphi(z)\Big) \tag{3.12}$$

where a, b and b_n are parameters to be fixed in the following. Since

$$\oint_0\frac{dz}{2\pi i}e^{k\varphi(z)}\partial\varphi(z)=\delta_{k,0}\oint_0\frac{dz}{2\pi i}\partial\varphi(z) \tag{3.13}$$

it follows that

$$L_n=\oint_0\frac{dz}{2\pi i}e^{-in\varphi(z)}\Big(an\gamma(z)\beta(z)-b\partial\varphi_1(z)\Big)+ib_0p\delta_{n,0} \tag{3.14}$$

where

$$p=-i\oint_0\frac{dz}{2\pi i}\partial\varphi(z)=\oint_0\frac{dz}{2\pi i}\Big(-i\partial\varphi_1(z)+\partial\varphi_2(z)\Big) \tag{3.15}$$

This charge appears as (minus the usual convention for) the momentum generator of $\varphi(z)$

$$\varphi(z)=q-i(-p)\ln z+i\sum_{n\neq0}\frac{\alpha_n}{n}z^{-n} \tag{3.16}$$

and it is noted that p is a central element with respect to the Virasoro generators

$$\big[L_n,p\big]=0 \tag{3.17}$$

To verify that the ansatz (3.12) does the job, and in the process fix the various parameters, one inserts the ansatz for $\mathcal{L}_n(z)$ into (2.12) and (3.7). In the affirmative, one finds that the conserved charges L_n in (3.4) are invariant (3.7) and generate the Virasoro algebra (2.12) provided

$$a=1,\qquad b=-i,\qquad b_0=-\frac{3i}{2},\qquad Q_1=Q_2=0 \tag{3.18}$$

That is,

$$L_n=\oint_0\frac{dz}{2\pi i}e^{-in(\varphi_1(z)+i\varphi_2(z))}\Big(n\gamma(z)\beta(z)+i\partial\varphi_1(z)\Big)+\frac{3p}{2}\delta_{n,0} \tag{3.19}$$

and the central charge is found to be

$$c=24p \tag{3.20}$$

It also follows that p itself (and hence c) is invariant with respect to $T(z)$, and that the central charge of the free-field Virasoro algebra generated by $T(z)$ equals the number of free fields, namely

$$c_\varphi+c_{\beta\gamma}=4 \tag{3.21}$$

Now, comparing $c=24p$ in (3.20) with the central charge $c=12J/\hbar$ associated to the near-horizon extremal Kerr black hole (2.12), we see that the eigenvalues of the central element p should be quantized according to

$$p\sim\frac{J}{2\hbar} \tag{3.22}$$

For given J, this imposes conditions on the representation theory of the free-field description, much akin to the so-called Bose sea level [33, 34] of a bosonic ghost system. Similar conditions, though based on different expressions for the central charge, apply when considering the various generalizations of the extremal Kerr black hole discussed in [6] and referred to at the end of Section 2.

Recalling the general bosonization recipe of [33], one may wonder if our construction in terms of the pair $\varphi_1(z)$ and $\varphi_2(z)$ corresponds to the bosonization of a bosonic ghost system

$$\hat\gamma(z)\simeq e^{-i\varphi_1(z)+\varphi_2(z)},\qquad \hat\beta(z)\simeq -e^{i\varphi_1(z)-\varphi_2(z)}i\partial\varphi_1(z),\qquad \hat\lambda=1 \tag{3.23}$$

independent from the one introduced in (3.8). Since the associated $U(1)$ current $\hat\gamma(z)\hat\beta(z)$ is anomalous with respect to $T_{\hat\beta\hat\gamma}(z)=\hat\beta(z)\partial\hat\gamma(z)$, while its bosonization $-\partial\varphi_2(z)$ is not (since $Q_2=0$), (3.23) is not a 'quantum equivalence'. This may not be of primary concern, though, since the only given entities are the central charge and the differential operators in the classical limit. The incompleteness of the bosonization (3.23) nevertheless precludes invariance with respect to the corresponding free-field Virasoro generator

$$\hat{T}(z)=\beta(z)\partial\gamma(z)+\hat{\beta}(z)\partial\hat{\gamma}(z),\qquad \hat{c}=4 \tag{3.24}$$

As a remedy, one can modify the ansatz (3.14) and consider

$$L_n=\oint_0\frac{dz}{2\pi i}\hat{\gamma}^n(z)\Big(\hat{a}_n\gamma(z)\beta(z)+\hat{b}_n\hat{\gamma}(z)\hat{\beta}(z)\Big)+\hat{b}_n'p\delta_{n,0},\qquad p=\oint_0\frac{dz}{2\pi i}\frac{\partial\hat{\gamma}(z)}{\hat{\gamma}(z)} \tag{3.25}$$

This is invariant and generates the Virasoro algebra provided $\hat{a}_n=n+1$, $\hat{b}_n=-1$ and $\hat{b}_n'=0$, that is,

$$L_n=\oint_0\frac{dz}{2\pi i}\hat{\gamma}^n(z)\Big((n+1)\gamma(z)\beta(z)-\hat{\gamma}(z)\hat{\beta}(z)\Big),\qquad c=24p \tag{3.26}$$

where $[L_n,p]=0$. In this picture, p is related to the winding number of $\hat{\gamma}(z)$. It is noted that, for $\hat{\lambda}=\frac{1}{2}$, the $U(1)$ current $\hat{\gamma}(z)\hat{\beta}(z)$ is anomaly free, but $\hat{\gamma}^n(z)$ then has conformal dimension $n(1-\hat{\lambda})\neq 0$ with respect to the corresponding free-field Virasoro generator.

It is emphasized that our proposal (3.19) is only one among many possible quantizations of the differential operators underlying the Virasoro algebra appearing in the (generalized) Kerr/CFT correspondence [2, 6]. The somewhat related construction (3.26) illustrates this assertion. Other possibilities arise when varying the parameter λ of the bosonic ghost system introduced in (3.8) as this changes the anomaly of the associated $U(1)$ current $\gamma(z)\beta(z)$ and in effect the conditions following from (3.7). One could also consider bosonizing this current (writing $\gamma(z)\beta(z)\simeq-\partial\varphi_3(z)$) or to generalize the ansatz (3.12) by allowing the coefficient an to be general linear ($an\to an+n_0$), for example. From a purely algebraic point of view, though, it appears that the proposal (3.19) is the one resembling the differential operators the most. Even so, there is no claim that this construction actually describes the CFT appearing in the Kerr/CFT correspondence. Better insight is gained once the corresponding representation theory is understood, and we hope to address this theory and discuss correlation functions elsewhere. Here, we merely point out that there is residual freedom when determining the vacuum of the CFT for given central charge (eigenvalue of $24p$). It remains to be seen if a sensible pairing exists between the corresponding CFTs and the various generalizations of the extremal Kerr black hole considered in [2, 6].

It is desirable to test the (generalized) Kerr/CFT correspondence beyond the comparison [2, 6] of the black-hole entropy with the entropy computed using the Cardy formula. One such test was provided in [35] on black-hole superradiance. An alternative approach is to consider black-hole solutions of gravity theories with higher-derivative corrections as discussed in [36, 37, 38, 39] and references therein. These higher-derivative terms would then affect the corresponding CFT, in particular its central charge. One can hope that our construction provides means of addressing this issue.

Acknowledgments

This work is supported by the Australian Research Council. The author thanks Omar Foda for discussions and encouragement, and Oscar Dias, Chethan Krishnan and Sergey Solodukhin for comments.

References

[1] J.D. Brown, M. Henneaux, *Central charges in the canonical realization of asymptotic symmetries: an example from three-dimensional gravity*, Commun. Math. Phys. **104** (1986) 207–226.

[2] M. Guica, T. Hartman, W. Song, A. Strominger, *The Kerr/CFT correspondence*, arXiv:0809.4266 [hep-th].

[3] J.M. Bardeen, G.T. Horowitz, *The extreme Kerr throat geometry: a vacuum analog of $AdS_2 \times S^2$*, Phys. Rev. **D60** (1999) 104030, arXiv:hep-th/9905099.

[4] G. Barnich, F. Brandt, *Covariant theory of asymptotic symmetries, conservation laws and central charges*, Nucl. Phys. **B633** (2002) 3–82, arXiv:hep-th/0111246.

[5] G. Barnich, G. Compère, *Surface charge algebra in gauge theories and thermodynamic integrability* J. Math. Phys. **49** (2008) 042901, arXiv:0708.2378 [gr-qc].

[6] T. Hartman, K. Murata, T. Nishioka, A. Strominger, *CFT duals for extreme black holes*, JHEP **0904** (2009) 019, arXiv:0811.4393 [hep-th].

[7] A. Giveon, D. Kutasov, N. Seiberg, *Comments on string theory on AdS_3*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2** (1998) 733–780, arXiv:hep-th/9806194.

[8] J. Maldacena, *The large N limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2** (1998) 231–252, arXiv:hep-th/9711200.

[9] S.S. Gubser, I.R. Klebanov, A.M. Polyakov, *Gauge theory correlators from non-critical string theory*, Phys. Lett. **B428** (1998) 105–114, arXiv:9802109.

[10] E. Witten, *Anti de Sitter space and holography*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2** (1998) 253–291, arXiv:hep-th/9802150.

[11] K. Ito, *Extended superconformal algebras on AdS_3*, Phys. Lett. **B449** (1999) 48–55, arXiv:hep-th/9811002.

[12] M. Yu, B. Zhang, *Light-cone gauge quantization of string theories on AdS_3 space*, Nucl. Phys. **B551** (1999) 425–449, arXiv:hep-th/9812216.

[13] O. Andreev, *On affine Lie superalgebras, AdS_3/CFT correspondence and world-sheets for world-sheets*, Nucl. Phys. **B552** (1999) 169–193, arXiv:hep-th/9901118.

[14] S. Yamaguchi, Y. Ishimoto, K. Sugiyama, *AdS_3/CFT_2 correspondence and space-time $N = 3$ superconformal algebra*, JHEP **9902** (1999) 026, arXiv:hep-th/9902079.

[15] Y. Sugawara, *Topological string on $AdS_3 \times N$*, Nucl. Phys. **B576** (2000) 265–284, arXiv:hep-th/9909146.

[16] R. Argurio, A. Giveon, A. Shomer, *Superstring theory on $AdS_3 \times G/H$ and boundary $N = 3$ superconformal symmetry*, JHEP **0004** (2000) 010, arXiv:hep-th/0002104.

[17] J. Rasmussen, *Constructing classical and quantum superconformal algebras on the boundary of AdS_3*, Nucl. Phys. **B582** (2000) 649–676, arXiv:hep-th/0002188.

[18] J. Rasmussen, *Comments on $N = 4$ superconformal algebras*, Nucl. Phys. **B593** (2001) 634–650, arXiv:hep-th/0003035.

[19] A. Strominger, *Black hole entropy from near-horizon microstates*, JHEP **9802** (1998) 009, arXiv:hep-th/9712251.

[20] M. Bañados, C. Teitelboim, J. Zanelli, *The black hole in three dimensional space time*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **69** (1992) 1849–1851, arXiv:hep-th/9204099.

[21] S. Carlip, *Black hole entropy from conformal field theory in any dimension*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **82** (1999) 2828–2831, arXiv:hep-th/9812013.

[22] S.N. Solodukhin, *Conformal description of horizon's states*, Phys. Lett. **B454** (1999) 213–222, arXiv:hep-th/9812056.

[23] A.J. Amsel, G.T. Horowitz, D. Marolf, M.M. Roberts, *No dynamics in the extremal Kerr throat*, arXiv:0906.2376 [hep-th].

[24] O.J.C. Dias, H.S. Reall, J.E. Santos, *Kerr-CFT and gravitational perturbations*, JHEP **0908** (2009) 101, arXiv:0906.2380 [hep-th].

[25] J. Rasmussen, *Isometry-preserving boundary conditions in the Kerr/CFT correspondence*, arXiv:0908.0184 [hep-th].

[26] M. Wakimoto, *Fock representations of the affine Lie algebra $A_1^{(1)}$*, Commun Math. Phys. **104** (1986) 605–609.

[27] B.L. Feigin, E.V. Frenkel, *A family of representations of affine Lie algebras*, Russ. Math. Surv. **43** (1988) 221–222.

[28] D. Bernard, G. Felder, *Fock representations and BRST cohomology in $SL(2)$ current algebra*, Commun. Math. Phys. **127** (1990) 145–168.

[29] B.L. Feigin, E.V. Frenkel, *Representations of affine Lie algebras*, in *Physics and Mathematics of Strings*, Eds. L. Brink, D. Friedan, A.M. Polyakov, World Scientific (1990), p. 271–316.

[30] J. de Boer, L. Fehér, *Wakimoto realizations of current algebras: an explicit construction*, Commun. Math. Phys. **189** (1997) 759–793, arXiv:hep-th/9611083.

[31] J.L. Petersen, J. Rasmussen, M. Yu, *Free field realizations of 2D current algebras, screening currents and primary fields*, Nucl. Phys. **B502** (1997) 649–670, arXiv:hep-th/9704052.

[32] J. Rasmussen, *Free field realizations of affine current superalgebras, screening currents and primary fields*, Nucl. Phys. **B510** (1998) 688–720, arXiv:hep-th/9706091.

[33] D. Friedan, E. Martinec, S. Shenker, *Conformal invariance, supersymmetry and string theory*, Nucl. Phys. **B271** (1986) 93–165.

[34] E. Verlinde, H. Verlinde, *Lectures on string perturbation theory*, in *Superstrings '88*, Eds. M. Green, M. Grisaru, R. Iengo, E. Sezgin, A. Strominger, World Scientific (1989), p. 189–250.

[35] I. Bredberg, T. Hartman, W. Song, A. Strominger, *Black hole superradiance from Kerr/CFT*, arXiv:0907.3477 [hep-th].

[36] H. Saida, J. Soda, *Statistical entropy of BTZ black hole in higher curvature gravity*, Phys. Lett. **B471** (2000) 358–366, arXiv:gr-qc/9909061.

[37] K. Hotta, Y. Hyakutake, T. Kubota, H. Tanida, *Brown-Henneaux's canonical approach to topologically massive gravity*, JHEP **0807** (2008) 066, arXiv:0805.2005 [hep-th].

[38] C. Krishnan, S. Kuperstein, *A comment on Kerr-CFT and Wald entropy*, Phys. Lett. **B677** (2009) 326–331, arXiv:0903.2169 [hep-th].

[39] T. Azeyanagi, G. Compère, N. Ogawa, Y. Tachikawa, S. Terashima, *Higher-derivative corrections to the asymptotic Virasoro symmetry of 4d extremal black holes*, Prog. Theor. Phys. **122** (2009) 355–384, arXiv:0903.4176 [hep-th].